XPS and optical studies of different morphologies of ZnO nanostructures prepared by microwave methods
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Abstract

Zinc oxide (ZnO) nanostructures of various morphologies were prepared using a microwave-assisted aqueous solution method. Herein, a comparative study between three different morphologies of ZnO nanostructures, namely nanoparticles (NPs), nanoflowers (NFs) and nanorods (NRs) has been reviewed and presented. The morphologies of the prepared powders have been studied using field effect scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). X-ray diffraction (XRD) results prove that ZnO nanorods have biggest crystallite size compared with nanoflowers and nanoparticles. The texture coefficient ($T_c$) of three morphologies has been calculated. The $T_c$ changed with varying morphology. A comparative study of surfaces of NPs, NFs and NRs were investigated using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The possible growth mechanisms of ZnO NPs, NFs and NRs have been described. The optical properties of the ZnO nanostructures of various morphologies have been investigated and showed that the biggest crystallite size of ZnO nanostructures has lowest band gap energy. The obtained results are in agreement with experimental and theoretical data of other researchers.
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1. Introduction

Zinc oxide (ZnO) has attracted a great deal of interest due to its electronic properties. It is a semiconductor with a wide band gap (3.4 eV) that is used as a substrate for the growth of other semiconductors such as GaN and SiC [1] applicable for optoelectronics [2–4]. ZnO nanostructures have been used for fabrication of a wide variety of devices [5,6] including light emitting diodes, laser diodes and field emission devices [7,8], piezoelectric devices [9], optoelectronic devices [10], catalysis [11], hybrid solar cells [12,13] and gas sensors [14,15].

Different techniques have been used by many authors to synthesize various ZnO nanostructures. Recently, Rezapour and Talebian [16] have synthesized crystalline ZnO with different morphologies by solvothermal and sol–gel synthesis methods in various solvents as the reaction medium and studied the photocatalytic activity of the prepared nanostructures. Lu et al. [17] have shown that the optical transmittance of Al-doped ZnO films can be improved using ZnO nanorods synthesized by aqueous chemical growth technique, where the length and diameter of the rods were controlled by the OH$^-$ concentration of hexa-methenamine in the solution. Lastly, Tsai et al. [18] have studied the effect of ultraviolet treatment on the structural and optical properties of ZnO nanoparticles and showed that ultraviolet treatment may induce the formation of surface oxygen vacancies leading to hydroxyl adsorption.

In this work, we report on green methods that allow the synthesis of ZnO nanostructures with different morphologies, namely nanoparticles (NPs), nanoflowers (NFs) and nanorods (NRs) using aqueous solution exposed to microwave radiation. Possible growth mechanisms of three morphologies
of the ZnO nanostructures have been suggested based on the interaction of microwaves with materials. The structural and optical properties of the prepared nanostructures have been investigated using X-ray diffraction, XPS, FESEM and UV–vis absorption spectroscopy. In addition, we present a detailed comparative study of XPS results and optical properties of three morphologies (NPs, NFs and NRs) of ZnO nanostructures. To the best of our knowledge, such correlation has not been reported in the literature.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The zinc cation precursor chemical materials used for the synthesis of ZnO samples were zinc chloride (ZnCl₂), zinc acetate dehydrate (ZnC₂H₄O₄·2H₂O) and zinc nitrate tetrahydrate (ZnN₂O₆·4H₂O). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was used as the hydroxide anion precursor. All raw chemicals were from Aldrich Fluka (Aldrich Chemical Co) with purity better than 99%. Deionized water (DIW) (resistivity 18.2 MΩ cm) was used as a solvent. Microwave processing was done using a conventional microwave oven (Model No. EM-G430, 2.45 GHz, maximum output power 1000 W, SANYO Electric, UK) equipped with six power levels.

2.2. Preparation of ZnO nanoparticles

ZnO nanoparticles were synthesized via a microwave-assisted aqueous solution method (MWASM). In a typical experiment, 0.9 g of ZnCl₂ was dissolved in 100 ml deionized water under magnetic stirring at room temperature for 5 min to form the aqueous solution of ZnCl₂, named as aqueous solution-A. Similarly, 1.4 g of NaOH was dissolved in 20 ml deionized water under magnetic stirring at room temperature for 5 min to form the aqueous solution of NaOH, named as aqueous solution-B. Then, the aqueous solution-B was added dropwise with 2 ml pyridine (C₅H₅N), as a basic additive which plays an important role of controlling of the ZnO morphology, to the aqueous solution-A under magnetic stirring until a milky solution of pH₁ = 13.75 at 28.5 °C resulted. After 2 h stirring, the final mixture solution was transferred into a flat-bottom flask (500 ml). Then, the flask was put inside the microwave oven to irradiate the mixture solution under air for 2 min duration with the microwave power set at 1000 W. The hot solution with a white precipitate was left to cool down to RT. After that the cooled solution was separated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 min, washed with DIW and absolute ethyl alcohol several times to remove the impurities, and finally dried in air at 60 °C for 24 h.

2.4. Preparation of ZnO nanorods

In a typical experiment, 0.149 M (100 ml) of zinc acetate hydrate (ZnC₂H₄O₄·2H₂O) and 3.33 M (30 ml) of NaOH aqueous solutions were prepared in deionized water (DIW, resistivity 18.2 MΩ cm) under magnetic stirring at 30 °C. After stirring for 5 min of the zinc acetate aqueous solution, the NaOH aqueous solution was gradually added dropwise under constant magnetic stirring until a milky solution resulted. After 60 min stirring, the final mixture solution was transferred into a flat-bottom flask (500 ml). Then, the flask was put inside the microwave oven to irradiate the mixture solution under air for 5 min duration (on/off) with the power set at 1000 W. The resulting hot solution with a white precipitate was left to cool down to RT naturally. Then the white precipitate was separated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 5 min, washed with DIW and ethyl alcohol several times, and finally dried in air at 60 °C for 24 h.

2.5. Methods

The structural characterization of all samples was carried out by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), using a Bruker AXS D8 advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation source (λ = 1.5418 Å). The morphology of the samples at the nanoscale was observed using a SUPRA 55VP field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM).

All samples were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) using XSAM-HS KRATOS electron spectrometer. The binding energies were corrected for the
charge shift using the C 1s peak of graphitic carbon (BE=284.6 eV) as a reference. The atomic concentrations of all the elements (O and Zn) were determined using a dedicated software (Kratos Vision software, AXIS Ultra ‘DLD’).

The optical properties were investigated by recording the (UV–vis) absorption spectra at room temperature using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 35 spectrophotometer in the 250–1100 nm wavelength range and a 10 mm quartz cuvette.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural and morphology of ZnO nanostructures

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of ZnO nanoparticles, nanoflowers and nanorods. The XRD analysis results confirmed that all prepared powders with their various morphologies correspond to a pure phase of ZnO wurtzite structure, with a hexagonal crystal system (space group P63mc, a=b=3.25010 Å, c=5.20710 Å, α=β=90° and γ=120°; JCPDS card no. 01-079-2205). It is clear that the XRD pattern of ZnO nanorods has the highest intensity (the amount of crystalline phase) resulting from a high crystallinity of ZnO nanorods compared with other morphologies because it was treated at 500 °C for 2 h in a conventional furnace.

The full-width at half-maximum β (in radian) of the main diffraction peak (1 0 1) was used to calculate the crystallite size of the samples using Scherrer’s equation:

\[ t = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]  

where θ is the diffraction angle, and λ is 1.54 Å of the Cu Kα line. The calculated crystallite sizes of ZnO nanoparticles, nanoflowers and nanorods are 18.6, 23.9 and 46.2 nm, respectively, as given in Table 1.

The preferred crystallite orientation and growth of the (h k l) planes has been studied in terms of the texture coefficient \( T_{c(h k l)} \) defined by Barret and Massalski [25] as:

\[ T_{c(h k l)} = \left( \frac{I_{ohkl}}{I_{a(h k l)}} \right) / \left[ 1/n \sum \left( \frac{I_{ohkl}}{I_{a(h k l)}} \right) \right] \]  

in which \( I_{ohkl} \) is the measured XRD intensities of corresponding planes (h k l) obtained from the samples, \( I_{a(h k l)} \) is the standard intensities of the XRD pattern references of the corresponding powders given in (JCPDS card no. 079-2205) and n is the number of diffraction planes (peaks). It is worth mentioning that Eq. (2) provides useful information only if the number of peaks is considered.

The texture coefficient \( T_c \) of the main nine (h k l) planes of ZnO NPs, NFs and NRs is listed in Table 2. One can notice that a value of \( T_{c(h k l)} \) greater than unity indicates the abundance of grains in that [h k l] direction whereas a value of \( T_{c(h k l)} \) equals to unity for all measured (h k l) planes means a randomly oriented crystallite like in the JCPDS reference [26]. From Table 2, we can conclude that the highest values of \( T_{c(h k l)} \) are \( T_{c(002)} \) for the three morphologies. These values are 1.13, 1.76 and 1.17 for ZnO NPs, NFs and NRs, respectively. Thus, the preferred crystallite orientation in three morphologies is [0 0 2] direction which is the highest in case ZnO NFs. On the other hand, the ratio \( [0 0 2]/[1 0 0] \) of the three morphologies was calculated from relative intensities of XRD patterns obtained of ZnO NPs, NFs and NRs. These ratios are 0.555, 0.739 and 0.484 for ZnO NPs, NFs and NRs, respectively. These values are higher than the theoretical ratio of \( [0 0 2]/[1 0 0] = 0.415 \) as given in JCPDS card no. 079-2205 for a random orientation of the crystallites.

Fig. 2 shows FESEM images of ZnO nanoparticles prepared by microwave-assisted aqueous solution method

![Fig. 1. XRD patterns of ZnO (a) nanoparticles; (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods synthesized via microwave-assisted aqueous solution methods.](image)

![Table 1](table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZnO sample</th>
<th>Morphology nano-</th>
<th>Microwave duration at 1000 W (min)/conventional heating (°C) for (2 h)</th>
<th>Crystallite size ( D_{(002)} ) (nm)</th>
<th>Band gap ( E_g ) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>2/300</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>3.40; 3.44(^a); 1.57(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>2/No</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>Rods</td>
<td>5/500</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Ref. [23] Exp.  
\(^b\)Ref. [24] Theor.
using ZnCl$_2$ and NaOH within 2 min at 1000 W. It is
clear that the nanoparticles are approximately spherical
and irregular in shape and size. This result may be due to
the product was filtered and post-annealed at 300 °C for
2 h by a conventional furnace [27]. It is noted that the
surfaces of ZnO nanoparticles are very smooth due
probably to the post-annealing treatment [28].

The possible chemical reactions leading to the formation
of the ZnO nanoparticles have been described in details
elsewhere [29]. The possible growth mechanism of ZnO
nanoparticles prepared by microwave-assisted aqueous
solution methods under microwave radiation could be as
follows: Firstly, the initial ZnO crystal nuclei act as dipoles
in the mixture solution under the effect of the electric field
of microwave radiation. Then, under exposure to micro-
wave power (1000 W) for 2 min, the obtained ZnO nuclei
polarize and aggregate to form ZnO nanoparticles. ZnO
nanoparticles with spherical shapes and smooth surfaces
were obtained, possibly due to the post-annealing treat-
ment at 300 °C for 2 h in the furnace [28].

Fig. 3 shows FESEM images of flowers shaped ZnO
nanostructures synthesized via the microwave-assisted
aqueous solution method using Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, NaOH
and pyridine as a modifying agent within 2 min at 1000 W. The
inserted image in Fig. 3 (a) indicates that each unit of

flower-shaped ZnO nanostructures has a receptacle, six
petals grown in the medial part of the receptacle and a
stigma. The lengths of flower-shaped ZnO nanostructures
are in the range of 0.8–2 μm. The width of each petal varies
from the tip to the basis. The widths of the tip and basis
are in the range of 100–200 and 250–400 nm, respectively.
Each part of flower-shaped ZnO nanostructure consists of
very small nanoparticles as shown in Fig. 3(b).

The possible growth mechanism of flower-shaped ZnO
nanostructure is shown in Fig. 4 which shows the initial
ZnO crystal nucleus structure with its different planes.
During the stirring, there is a possibility for the following
reversible reaction to occur [29]:

$$\text{Zn(OH)}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \overset{\text{stirring at } 25 \degree \text{C}}{\leftrightarrow} \text{Zn(OH)}_3^{2-}. \quad (3)$$

The negative growth units (Zn(OH)$_3^{2-}$) can easily form
in the strong basic medium as in our case, pH$_f$=13.5.

According to this reaction and the availability of rich
Zn$^{2+}$ ions in the medium, the negative (Zn(OH)$_3^{2-}$) ions
are easily attracted by the positive polar planes (0 0 0 1)
made of Zn$^{2+}$ [19]. Some growth units (Zn(OH)$_2^{2-}$) can
react with OH$^-$ groups on the initial ZnO crystal nuclei
surfaces to allow more nucleation and growth in the c-axis
direction. In this case, ZnO rod-like with hexagonal shape will grow in the c-axis direction. However, the growth of ZnO rod-like along the positive planes may be limited because pyridine (py) molecules (C5H5N) which can react with Zn2+ ions on the positive polar surfaces to form pyridine complexes of zinc (py–Zn2+ complexes). So, the pyridine molecules act as a capping agent layer on the positive polar surfaces of ZnO structure. When the adsorbed molecules of pyridine on the positive plane (0 0 0 1) of ZnO increase, the surface energy of (0 0 0 1) plane will be reduced. Thus, the growth rate along the [0 0 0 1] direction will decrease and the thickness of the rod-like ZnO will increase with steadily decreasing the top part of the rod to form rugby-like ZnO nanostructures with sharp ends due to the diffusion-limited growth under the capping agent [19,30] as shown in Fig. 4(b). Subsequently the growth process proceeds in the middle of the rugby-like ZnO structure from the six facets of hexagonal wurtzite crystal to form six petals of the flower-shaped ZnO nanostructures. Each petal is half rugby-like and grows in the [0 0 0 1] direction of the c-axis as shown in Fig. 4(c). The basic additives such as pyridine, triethanolamine and aniline were previously used to control the morphology of ZnO nanostructures because of these additives have various reactions and different adsorption ability on various surfaces of ZnO crystal, leading to a significant change in the growth rates of different crystal facets and directions [19,31].

The growth mechanism of the ZnO nanorods can be described as follows: the initial ZnO crystal nucleus structure with its different planes is shown in Fig. 3(a). As discussed previously, the negative growth units, (Zn(OH)3−) can easily form in the strong basic medium as in our case, pHf = 12.2. According to Eq. (1) and the availability of rich Zn2+ ions in the medium, the (Zn(OH)3−) ions are attracted by the positive polar planes (0 0 0 1) of Zn2+. Some growth units (Zn(OH)3−) can react with OH− groups on the initial ZnO crystal nuclei surfaces to allow more nucleation and growth in the c-axis direction.

Fig. 5 displays FESEM images of ZnO nanorods (NRs) synthesized via microwave-assisted aqueous solution method using Zinc acetate dihydrat (ZnC4H6O4 \cdot 2H2O) and NaOH within 5 min at 1000 W. The hexagonal nanorods (pencils-like) have average diameters of about 45–96 nm and lengths around 0.5–1 μm (Fig. 5(b)). Each nanorod has almost the same diameter throughout its length and exhibited smooth surfaces. The inserted image in Fig. 5(b) shows the tip of nanorods at high magnification.

3.2. XPS analysis of different morphologies of ZnO nanostructures

The ZnO nanostructures were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Fig. 6 shows the typical XPS wide survey spectra of various morphologies of ZnO (a) nanoparticles, (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods. Zn, O and C peaks were detected as shown in the three wide survey spectra in Fig. 6. The detected carbon is related to the carbon adsorbed on the surface during the exposure of the sample to the ambient atmosphere. All binding energies were corrected for the charge shift using the C 1s peak of graphitic carbon (BE = 284.6 eV) as a reference [32].

Fig. 7 demonstrates the comparison of raw data of high resolution XPS spectra of the Zn 2p region (I) and O 1s core-level (II) of various morphologies of ZnO (a) nanoparticles, (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods. From Fig. 7(I), it can be observed that the binding energies of the Zn 2p components are slightly different. This is probably due to various surface morphologies of ZnO nanostructures [33]. The binding energies of the Zn 2p components of ZnO nanorods are significantly higher than the binding energies of the Zn 2p components of ZnO nanoparticles and nanoflowers. The binding energies difference could be attributed to two main possible reasons: (1) the chemical environment interaction with the surface atoms (composition of the 5 nm top thickness of the surface) and (2) the variation of the texture coefficients with morphology as shown in Table 2. Gladys et al. [34]
have reported that the smaller binding energy shifts of surface core-level in clean and oxygen-covered Ir(2 1 0) surfaces are associated with different atomic packing density for {1 1 0} and {3 1 1} facets compared to the atomically rough (2 1 0) surface.

From Fig. 7(I) and Table 3, the Zn 2p core-level of ZnO NPs has two fitting peaks located at about 1043.7 and 1020.7 eV attributed to Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2}, respectively. Similarly, those two fitting peaks located at 1043.8 and 1020.8 eV are attributed to Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} of ZnO NFs, respectively. However, the Zn 2p core-level of ZnO NRs has two fitting peaks shifted towards the higher binding energy. Those two fitting peaks located at 1044.2 and 1021.2 eV are ascribed to Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} of ZnO NRs, respectively [35–37]. These results indicate that the chemical valence of Zn at the surface of three morphologies of ZnO is (+2 oxidation state). Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak was fitted to only one Gaussian in all samples analyzed. The binding energy difference between the Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} is 23 eV for three morphologies of ZnO.

Fig. 8 displays XPS spectra of O 1s region of various morphologies of ZnO (a) nanoparticles, (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods synthesized via microwave-assisted aqueous solution methods.

From Fig. 7(I) and Table 3, the Zn 2p core-level of ZnO NPs has two fitting peaks located at about 1043.7 and 1020.7 eV attributed to Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2}, respectively. Similarly, those two fitting peaks located at 1043.8 and 1020.8 eV are attributed to Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} of ZnO NFs, respectively. However, the Zn 2p core-level of ZnO NRs has two fitting peaks shifted towards the higher binding energy. Those two fitting peaks located at 1044.2 and 1021.2 eV are ascribed to Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} of ZnO NRs, respectively [35–37]. These results indicate that the chemical valence of Zn at the surface of three morphologies of ZnO is (+2 oxidation state). Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} peak was fitted to only one Gaussian in all samples analyzed. The binding energy difference between the Zn 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Zn 2p\textsubscript{3/2} is 23 eV for three morphologies of ZnO.

Fig. 8 displays XPS spectra of O 1s region of various morphologies of ZnO (a) nanoparticles, (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods. It is demonstrated at Fig. 8(a) that the O 1s core-level spectrum of ZnO nano-particles which shows two
different forms of oxygen. Two fitting Gaussians peaks marked as (1) and (2) were used to fit the experimental data. Peak (1), positioned at the lower binding energy of 529.6 eV, and is assigned to O$_2$/$\text{C}_0$ ions in the Zn–O bonding of the wurtzite structure of ZnO$^{[37]}$. The other peak (2) located at 531.1 eV is related to OH group absorbed onto the surface of the ZnO nanoparticles$^{[33]}$. Similarly, two fitting Gaussians peaks marked as (1) and (2) were used to fit the raw data of O 1s region of ZnO nanoflowers as shown in Fig. 8(b). The peaks located at 529.7 and 531.2 eV can be ascribed to O$_2$/$\text{C}_0$ ions in the Zn–O bonding of the wurtzite structure of ZnO nanoflowers and to the Zn–OH bonding, respectively. This result is in agreement with the previous report of ZnO nanoflowers$^{[35]}$. However, the O 1s region of ZnO nanorods can be fitted into three Gaussian peaks marked as (1), (2) and (3) as shown in Fig. 8(c). The peak located at 530.2 eV belongs to the Zn–O bonding in ZnO. Peaks located at 531.1 and 532.3 eV can be ascribed to the Zn–OH bonding and to the presence of C=O bonding originated from the surface adsorbed histidine molecules. These values are good in agreement with the previous work of ZnO nanorods$^{[38]}$.

The summary of XPS analysis of ZnO NPs, NFs and NRs is shown in Table 3. Binding energy (BE) in eV for the core levels Zn 2p and O 1s and their corresponding full-width at half maximum (FWHM) along with the respective atomic concentrations are listed in Table 3. The results show that the ratio O/Zn is slightly lower than unity confirming that the synthesized powders are pure ZnO as conformed by XRD results.

### 3.3. Optical properties of different morphologies of ZnO nanostructures

The optical properties of the different morphologies of ZnO nanostructures were studied by ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) absorption spectroscopy technique. The optical band gap energy ($E_g$) of the prepared samples was estimated using Eq. (4)$^{[39,40]}$ and Tauc plot method$^{[41,42]}$:

$$\frac{ah_n}{n} = B(h_n/E_g)^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $a$ is the absorption coefficient, $h_n$ is the photon energy, $n$ equals either 1/2 for an indirect transition (indirect band gap semiconductors) or 2 for a direct transition (direct band gap semiconductors) and $B$ is a material-dependent constant. The value of the absorption coefficient can be determined by the following equation$^{[43]}$:

$$x = \frac{1}{d} \ln \frac{I_o}{I} = \frac{A}{d \log e} \approx 2.303 \frac{A}{d}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $d$ is the thickness of the used cuvette (the sample thickness), $I_o$ and $I$ are the intensities of incident and
transmitted light, respectively, \( A = \log(I_o/I_t) \) is the absorbance, obtained from the UV–vis absorption spectra.

Fig. 9 shows the UV–vis absorption spectra of various morphologies of ZnO (a) nanoparticles, (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods synthesized via microwave-assisted aqueous solution methods. In the UV region, the main absorption peaks of the ZnO samples (a) nanoparticles, (b) nanoflowers and (c) nanorods are about 368 nm (3.37 eV), 370 nm (3.35 eV) and 378 nm (3.28 eV), respectively. It is clearly observed that the absorption peaks showed a blue shift as the crystallite size of the samples decreases. This result is in good agreement with the previous literatures in many semiconductor nanostructures [44,45]. It is worth noting that the absorption curve of ZnO NRs is very different compared to the others. It has high absorption at long wavelength and very slow decrease of the absorption curve at short wavelengths. This could be related to the presence of carbon compounds (C=O) as shown in XPS analysis.

The Plots of \((z\nu)^2\) against photon energy \((h\nu)\) will have a linear region and extrapolation of the straight line to zero absorption gives the energy gap for various morphologies of ZnO nanostructures as shown in Fig. 10(I). The obtained energy gap values are in good agreement with ZnO nanoparticles [46], nanoflowers [47] and nanorods [48]. The increasing of optical band gap values with the decreasing of the grain size as illustrated in Fig. 10(II) could be associated to the electron confinement as observed in various materials [49]. On the other hand, the energy gap can be expressed as a function of the radius of nanoparticles as follows [50]:

\[
E_{\text{eff}} = E_{\text{bulk}} + \frac{\hbar^2 \pi^2}{2mR^2}
\]

(6)

where \( R \) is the radius of the particles and \( \mu \) is the effective reduced mass. The value \( \mu \) equals 0.275 \( m_e \) and \( m_e \) is the
electron mass. Sarmah and Kumar [51] have reported an increase of the optical gap from 3.76 to 4.16 eV as the SnO₂ nanoparticles size decreases from 14.5 to 8.5 nm. But the previous results were observed for the nanoparticles of much smaller sizes. Consequently, the blue-shift of the energy gap as the grain size decreases cannot be used to explain the observed variation of the optical gap in our case. We believe that the observed difference of the optical band gap may be related to the variation of the stoichiometry of ZnO samples and the concentration of the point defects associated with them [52]. Dutta et al. [53] have reported a significant change in optical band gap of ZnO due to defects which discrete states or increase localized energy levels within the band gap.

4. Conclusions

A comparative study between three different morphologies of ZnO nanostructures, namely nanoparticles (NPs), nanoflowers (NFs) and nanorods (NRs) was studied. The XRD results and calculated texture coefficient \( (T_c) \) of three morphologies showed that the preferred crystallite orientation in three morphologies is [0 0 2] direction which is the highest in case ZnO NFs. It is shown using FESEM that nanoparticles of ZnO nanostructures have approximately spherical shapes, irregular in shape and size, and very smooth surfaces. However, ZnO nanoflowers have respectable, six petals grown in the medial part of the respectable. XPS results indicated that Zn 2p lines in ZnO nanorods have highest binding energy. Also, the optical properties of three morphologies of ZnO nanostructures were analyzed and confirmed that crystallites of bigger sizes have smallest value of energy band gap. The obtained results are in accordance with experimental [23], theoretical [24] and others [36,38,44–48] available in the literature.
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