Realization and computational analysis of splitting in higher order optical vortices
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ABSTRACT

Control on spacing between splitted topological charges is a matter of concern in optical phenomenon. Splitting of higher order topological charge has been done by the interference with three plane waves at smaller angle than the one which phase engineered beam makes with the axis. Splitting has been realized by introducing additional phase in three plane waves. It is observed that the spacing between splitted topological charges can be controlled by controlling the introduced additional phase in these three plane waves.

© 2016 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recently, optical vortices have captured great attention. The optical vortex is an isolated point singularity with a screw dislocation, which was first noticed by Nye and Berry [1]. There are other types of dislocation, such as: edge dislocation and edge-screw dislocation. When two dislocated wave fronts are added, they give a new dislocation. At the point of screw dislocation or optical vortex, the amplitude is null and the phase is indeterminate. Optical vortex wave front has the tendency of helicity which is also a topological charge (positive or negative) of the order of screw dislocation. The phase varies in the form of 2πp within the wavelength. An array of the optical vortex is called optical vortex lattices.

There are lots of methods to generate the optical vortex; one of the most famous methods is the interference method, where minimum three non-coplanar plane waves with equal amplitude are required. Various optical interferometric setups have been implemented for the generation of optical vortex array. Computer generated hologram method has also been used to generate the light beams containing optical vortex [2]. Other methods, including: dielectric wedge plate [3], spiral phase plate [4], wave front division [5], interference of three, four and five plane waves [6], coupled Wollaston prisms [7] and lateral shearing interferometer [8] have been reported for the generation of optical vortex.

Optical vortex contains orbital angular momentum, which has great deal of interest. Optical vortex plays significant role in the area of optical tweezers [9], by transferring their orbital angular momentum to the micro and nano-particles to rotate them around the propagation axis. Application of optical vortex in singular optics, bio-medical, optical communication, quantum communication and information, optical trapping and optical manipulation of ultra-cold atoms [10–12] have been reported. The singular or optical vortex beam can be defined by ϕ(pθ) where ϕ is the phase of the singular beam and p is the topological charge of optical vortex.

Higher order optical vortices are not stable, as they have tendency to split into unit charge vortices. There are lots of methods...
that have been introduced to split the higher order optical vortices. It has been observed that interference of higher order vortex beam and axially introduced plane waves give the splitized pattern [13]. In the presence of non-singular beam, the higher order vortices of charge \( p \) split into the singly charged \( p \) number of vortex. This analogy is called unfolding, where \( p \) folded pattern change into unfolded. The tilting SLM during the generation of higher order vortices also lead to asymmetry in the generation of vortices. With larger tilting, the higher order vortices can be separated into unit charge [14]. By using SLM, the superposition of multiple fork holograms gives vortex beam and compensates the vortex splitting [15]. Mamaev et al. reported the splitting of higher order optical vortices into unit charge array, in a media with anisotropic nonlocal non-linearity [16]. So higher order optical vortex decays into low order or unit charge vortex, but the overall topological charge remains conserved in the lattice [17,18].

We aim to introduce a general method to split the higher order optical vortex into low order. Further, we noticed the tunability of spacing between splitized low order optical vortex by varying the angle between central axis and interfering side beams and by introducing the additional phase in three interfering beams.

2. Results and discussion

In this paper, we have introduced a new method to split the higher order optical vortex into unit charges. In generation of vortices, combinations of axially equidistant non-coplanar side phase engineered plane waves have been used. To split the higher order vortices, we introduced equidistant three plane waves which are at different angle from the axis, than phase engineered planes waves, as shown in Fig. 1. The tips of the wave vectors lie on the projected ring in the transverse Fourier plane. The complex field distribution of three plane waves is given by the Eq. (1).

\[
u(r) = A \sum_{i=1}^{3} \exp[-i(k_i r)]
\]

where, \( A \) is amplitude of each wave and \( k \) is wave vector. Amplitude is taken as unity. Wave vector of these beams can be defined as:

\[
k_i = [k \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i, \ k \sin \theta_i \sin \phi_i, \ k \cos \theta_i]
\]

where, \( \theta_i \) is the angle between beams and \( z \)-axis, and \( \phi_i = 2\pi i/3 \).

On the Fourier plane, interference of three plane waves and multiple phase engineered plane waves give unfolded or perturbed pattern, in which \( p \) higher order vortices are split into \( p \) unit charge vortices. In Fig. 2, the splitting of topological charges at the center of vortex lattice has been shown. Zero crossing plot and fork pattern is the signature of the optical vortices as shown in the figure.

The spacing between the topological charges can be tuned by the two ways.

First, by controlling the angle \( \theta_i \) between central axis and three plane waves, the spacing between unit charges can be controlled. As the angle increases, the spacing between charges decreases, and the unit charge vortices shift from their previous position, as shown in Fig. 3.

Second way is the interference of phase engineered three plane waves at the angle \( \theta_i \) and multiple phase engineered beams at different angle. It can be concluded that if we introduce additional phase \( \gamma_i \) in three plane waves and get interfere with multiple phase engineered beams, splitized topological charges at the centre of lattices are observed. Here the complex field distribution of three plane waves is:

\[
u(r) = A \sum_{i=1}^{3} \exp[-i(k_i r + \gamma_i)]
\]

where, \( \gamma_i \) is the additional phase introduced in three plane waves and

\[
\gamma_i = \delta (i - 1)
\]

where, \( i \) will vary from 1 to 3 and \( \delta \) is angle. If \( \delta = 2\pi / 3 \) then additional phase would be 0, 2\( \pi / 3 \), 4\( \pi / 3 \). When \( \gamma \) decreases, the spacing between splitized topological charge increases. The observed results are shown in Fig. 4. The final complex field distribution of interference at focal plane is given by the following equation:

\[
U_j(r) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{q} \exp(-i(k_j r + \epsilon_j)) \right] + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \exp(-i(k_i r + \gamma_i)) \right]
\]

where, \( q, k, r \) and \( \epsilon \) are the total number of multiple phase engineered waves, wave vector and position vector, respectively. Here amplitude of waves is unity. \( \epsilon = 2\pi j/q \) is additional predetermined phase and \( p \) is higher order topological charge.
**Fig. 3.** Representation of spacing tunability between splitted unit topological charges, (a)–(f) Phase pattern (for topological charge 2) by increasing $\theta_1$ as $1^\circ$, $2^\circ$, $3^\circ$, $4^\circ$, $5^\circ$ and $6^\circ$ respectively; (g)–(h) Graphical representation of two optical vortex in X–Y plane as angle varies position and spacing between vortex are changing.

**Fig. 4.** Computational analysis of tunability of spacing between unit charges by introducing the additional phase $120^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $60^\circ$, $40^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $20^\circ$, (a)–(f) Phase pattern (charge 2). Inset intensity distribution of lower threshold value in form of darker region, (g)–(h) Graphical presentation to show the shifting of two optical vortex.
3. Conclusion

We have successfully achieved that the splitting of higher order topological charge by the interference with three plane waves at smaller angle than the one which phase engineered beam makes with the axis. Moreover, splitting is realized if the additional phase is introduced in three plane waves. Furthermore, spacing between the splitted charges can be tuned by tuning the additional phase.
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