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A well constructed and lucid paper.

Additional Questions:
1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes. The development of the conceptual framework for effective waste management in HC facilities draws together existing knowledge strands to develop original contextual understanding.

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: The basis of the work is extensive and coherent literature review. The citations are current, broad and generally appropriate to the work. There are no major omissions of obvious work.
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate? Whilst there are inherent limitations in the development of work based entirely on literature review these are understood and acknowledged by the authors. The paper presents a strong platform for further empirical work. The work is sound and the method appropriate.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper? Yes. The paper draws together the various elements of the work into a coherent and valid set of conclusions.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper? The paper clearly identifies implications for practice and for ongoing research and scholarly pursuit.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.? The communication is improved from the previous version and now reads clearly and coherently.