ABSTRACT

Water delivered through dental unit waterline system (DUWS) is often reported contaminated with microbes dislodged from biofilm that forms within the tubing of a dental chair unit (DCU). ADM: The study aimed at evaluating the sanitary level of DCU water from a teaching dental clinic. Materials: The presence of pathogenic bacteria which include total coliforms, faecal coliforms, E. coli, faecal streptococci and P. aeruginosa were determined using conventional microbiological methods while PCR technique was used to identify other microbial contaminants. Result: pH of DCU water was found slightly acidic at pH 5.4-5.5 and the temperature was 23°C. Pathogenic contaminants were absent but the DCU water was highly loaded with Sphingomonas rhizogenes (17.9%), Sphingomonas dokdonesis (79.5%), Sphingomonas mucosissima (1.1%) and Methylobacterium radiotolerans (1.5%). The high load of microbes that exceeded 200 cfu/ml was of great concern as it failed to meet recommendation set by the American Dental Association.
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INTRODUCTION

Output water delivered through Dental Unit Waterline System (DUWS) is often highly contaminated with microorganisms (1, 2). It has been reported that these microbes are dislodged from biofilm that tends to form within the multiple tubing of a dental chair unit. Although bacteria recovered from DUWS are mainly harmless Gram-negative aerobes, their high presence in dental chair unit (DCU) water are of concern as it contradicts the general infection control practice requirement. The presence of high microbial contaminants has been associated with the high concentration of endotoxin in DUWS water (3). Vigorous cleaning procedures of the DUWS are regularly performed to ensure good quality water is delivered to dental patients and these include the use of anti-retraction valves (4), flushing (5), filtration, independent clean water system, chemical treatments (6,7), cleaning and disinfection system (8), as well as the use of modified tubing material for DCU (9). However, despite the various measures taken to ensure clean water supply to DCUs, microbial contamination of DUWS is still being reported. This study was carried out to investigate the microbiological quality of water delivered in the DUWS of a teaching clinic in a local dental school.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Water samples from 13 DCUs were obtained from a dental teaching clinic in a local university. This model employed clean water supplied by an independent reservoir filled with distilled water. In other words, water used in these DCUs had bypassed main connections to the municipal water supply.

COLLECTION OF WATER SAMPLES

Thirteen collections were made over a period of two months with a total of 572 water samples. Sampling was carried out in the early morning (around 8.30 am) after a nightly disuse of the DCU. In each sampling, water samples were collected from both the output and input water of the DCU. The former consisted of water from the air-water syringe (AWS), low speed hand piece (LSH) and high speed hand piece (HSH) while the later consisted of distilled water (DW) in the reservoir of each DCU.
Contamination of DUWS Water

Before the start of each collection, the points of each of the water sources were carefully wiped with alcohol to ensure sterility of their surfaces. Water was then allowed to run to waste for about one minute before it was aseptically collected into sterile universal and polypropylene bottles for laboratory analyses. The temperature and pH of the water samples were recorded using a portable temperature-pH meter (Thermoline) before they were transported on ice to the laboratory for microbial analyses.

MICROBIOLOGICAL ANALYSES

To each of the water samples, microbiological analyses that included heterotrophic plate count, total coliforms count, faecal coliforms count, Escherichia coli count, faecal streptococci count and Pseudomonas aeruginosa count were carried out following the techniques proposed in the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (10).

a) Heterotrophic plate count
0.1 mL of water sample was evenly spread on to R2A agar plate (Difco) and incubated at 28 °C for 7 days. Following incubation the mean colony forming units (cfu) of triplicate and the abundance percentage of different types of colonies were calculated. Dilutions of the water samples were made using phosphate buffered solutions if the cfu counts on the plate did not fall within the range of 30-300 colonies.

b) Total coliforms count
100 mL of water sample was run through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Whatman). The membrane filter was removed and placed on to m Endo agar LES plate (Difco). Following incubation at 35.0 ± 0.5 °C for 22-24 hours, the number of pink to dark-red colour colonies displaying a metallic surface sheen that formed on the plate was recorded as the number of coliforms present in the sample. The formation of doubtful colonies was verified by transferring the colonies into lauryl tryptose solutions (Difco) and brilliant green lactose broth (Difco). Following incubation at 35.0 ± 0.5 °C for 48 hours, the production of a gas would confirm them as coliforms.

c) Faecal coliforms count
100 mL of water sample was run through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Whatman). The membrane filter was removed and placed on to m FC agar plate (Difco) which has been supplemented with rosolic acid (1%). Following incubation at 44.5 ± 0.2 °C for 22-24 hours, the number of blue colonies formed was recorded as the number of faecal coliforms in the sample. Doubtful colonies were verified following the same procedure as mentioned for the total coliform.

d) Escherichia coli count
Membrane filters from water samples showing positive total coliform was removed and placed on to a nutrient agar plate containing 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-glucuronide (NA-MUG) (Difco). Following incubation at 35.0 ± 0.5 °C for 4 hours, the number of cfu that produces fluorescence under UV illumination was recorded as the number of E. coli present in the sample.

e) Faecal streptococci count
100 mL of water sample was filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Whatman). The membrane filter was removed and then placed on to m Enterococcus agar plate (Difco). Following incubation at 35.0 ± 0.5 °C for 48 hours, the number of cfu was recorded as the number of faecal streptococci in the water sample.

f) Pseudomonas aeruginosa count
500 mL of water sample was filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Whatman). The membrane filter was removed and placed on to M-PA-C agar plate (BBL). Following incubation at 41.5 ± 0.5 °C for 72 hours, the cfu was recorded as the number of P. aeruginosa present in the water sample.

Statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 12.0.1. Bacterial loads in different water sources (air-water syringe, low and high speed hand pieces and distilled water) were compared to the standard recommended by the ADA (≤200 cfu/mL) using one sample t-tests on a log-transformed heterotrophic plate count. Paired t tests were also carried out to compare bacterial load of the input water (distilled water) and output water (air-water syringe, low and high speed hand pieces). Statistical significance was assumed at a P value of < 0.05.

Bacterial identification
Microorganisms isolated from water samples were subcultured on to R2A agar plates and incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C. The colonies formed were harvested for use in bacterial identification using 16S rDNA molecular approach. The genomic DNA of the isolated bacteria was extracted using the GeneJETTM Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Fermentas).

a) Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
100ng of purified genomic DNA was used as DNA template in the PCR. Primers 27F (5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTTGGCTCAG-3’) and 1495R (5’-CGGCCTCACCTTGTACGA C-3’) were used to amplify a 1500-bp region of the 16S rDNA gene. The reaction mixtures contained PCR buffer (2.0 mM MgCl2; 200 µM for each dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dGTP; 300 mM for each forward and reverse primer; and 0.025 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas). The reaction mixtures were incubated for an
initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 seconds, at 50 °C for 30 seconds, and at 72 °C for 2 minutes before a final extension at 72 °C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were then purified for further analysis using the GeneJET purification column (Fermentas).

b) 16S rDNA gene sequencing and bacterial identification
The purified PCR products with A260/A280 ratio between 1.7 and 2.0 were sent to an external laboratory for DNA sequencing. The isolates were identified by comparing their 16S rDNA sequences to those in the GenBank nucleotide sequence databases using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) family of computer programmes (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

RESULTS
The mean temperature of the DCU’s water was at 23.41 ± 0.86 °C and was slightly acidic at pH 5.46 ± 0.17. The mean for heterotrophic plate count of all water samples was determined at 3.88 ± 0.28 log10 cfu/mL (3981-14454 cfu/mL) (Figure 1), which significantly exceeded the acceptable standard level recommended by the ADA (P < 0.001) (Table 1). Comparatively, the heterotrophic plate count from the air-water syringe was found to be significantly lower than that of the distilled water (P = 0.005). No significant difference was observed between the low speed and high speed hand pieces to that of the distilled water (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Microbial analysis of water samples from all 13 DCUs revealed the negative presence of total coliforms, faecal coliforms, E. coli, faecal streptococci and P. aeruginosa. However, 4 different colonies were routinely isolated from the water samples. When compared to the GenBank nucleotide sequence database, these bacteria were identified as Gram-negative bacteria (Figure 2) belonging to the genus Sphingomonas (98.5%) and Methylobacterium (1.5%). The abundance percentage of each individual bacterium was shown in Table 3.

DISCUSSION
DUWS refers to the narrow interconnected tubing network within a dental chair. The physics of laminar flow of water passing through DUWS and the long stagnation of water in the entire column facilitate the proliferation of microorganisms originating from the environment in the tubing. This often causes the water delivered to the patients’ mouth to be heavily contaminated with microbes. The possibility of getting infected by an infectious disease during dental treatment has created awareness among the public. Despite the various approaches and guidelines (12) proposed to tackle issues on contamination of DUWS water, serious cases of water borne infections associated with water in a dental-care setting is still being reported (13) and thus, highlight the importance of maintaining a safe working environment for both dental personnel and patients.

In this study, the temperature of water from the DCUs was recorded around 23°C and the pH was about pH 5.4-5.5, which is slightly acidic when compared to the optimum pH of water for drinking purpose recommended by the World Health Organization at pH 6.5-9.5 (14). Located in a hot tropical country, the clinic is fully air-conditioned throughout its operation hours during the day. In the evening and at any other times however, the conditioning system is switched off leaving the clinic in a warm environment. Under such condition, water that remains stagnant in the DCUs while not in used may have some influence on the quality of outgoing water from the chair. Although short exposures to the slightly acidic water during treatment may not pose significant health risk to patients, considering the tendency that some patients might swallow some water during treatment, the pH of this DCU water is thus, not potable and does not conform to a good general infection practice. However, considering accidental swallowing of water during dental treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water sources</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Mean diff. (95% CI)</th>
<th>t-statistic (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>3.9168 (0.3049)</td>
<td>1.6158 (1.5402,1.6913)</td>
<td>42.720 (64)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-water syringe</td>
<td>3.8109 (0.2203)</td>
<td>1.5099 (1.4553, 1.5645)</td>
<td>55.247 (64)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low speed hand piece</td>
<td>3.9186 (0.2779)</td>
<td>1.6176 (1.5487, 1.6865)</td>
<td>46.926 (64)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High speed hand piece</td>
<td>3.9220 (0.3030)</td>
<td>1.6210 (1.5459, 1.6961)</td>
<td>43.137 (64)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of heterotrophic plate count from different water sources to the standard recommended by the ADA (200 cfu/mL = 2.301 log10). Comparison was made using one sample t test and the P values are as indicated in the table.
Contamination of DUWS Water procedures is often of very small portion, this may not be an issue for serious concern. Both the input and output water of the DCUs under study were also found highly loaded with microbes at levels exceeding that recommended by the ADA (Figure 1). Similar cases of high microbial loading in DCUs have also been reported in other developing countries like Brazil and Turkey (15, 16). It has also been reported that up to 51% of 237 DCUs studied across seven European countries showed microbial contamination exceeding the ADA recommendation (17). Although the influence of climate and water temperature in these reports was never mentioned, the very high bacterial population recorded in this study can be used to suggest that the warm environment in the clinic may have some contribution to the highly populated water. The accumulated excretions and metabolic end-products of microbial population have been suggested as a factor contributing to the acidity of

### Table 2. Comparison of heterotrophic plate count between output water from the air-water syringe, low and high speed hand pieces to that of distilled water source. Comparison was made using paired t test and the P values are as indicated in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water sources</th>
<th>Heterotrophic plate count (SD)</th>
<th>Mean diff. (95% CI)</th>
<th>t-statistic (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water</td>
<td>3.9168 (0.3049)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-water syringe</td>
<td>3.8109 (0.2203)</td>
<td>0.1059 (0.0325, 0.1793)</td>
<td>2.881 (64)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low speed hand piece</td>
<td>3.9186 (0.2779)</td>
<td>-0.0185 (-0.0280, 0.0243)</td>
<td>-0.141 (64)</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High speed hand piece</td>
<td>3.9220 (0.3030)</td>
<td>-0.0052 (-0.2826, 0.1780)</td>
<td>-0.454 (64)</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** The mean of heterotrophic plate count from different water sources. The dotted line drawn across the bar chart represented the level of colony forming unit (cfu) below which is recommended by the American Dental Association (ADA) as acceptable for human consumption (≤ 200 cfu/mL).
In general, microbial contamination of DUWS is a worldwide issue and in developing countries where the healthcare system is less extensive than in developed countries, is a cause for concern.

Four common bacteria were found to contaminate the DCU water and were identified as Sphingomonas dokdonensis, Sphingomonas rhizogenes, Sphingomonas mucosissima and Methylobacterium radiotolerans (Figure 2, Table 3). Sphingomonas is a group of chemoheterotrophic Gram-negative, strictly aerobic rod-shaped bacteria that are widely distributed in nature including clinical specimens. These microbes possess ubiquinone-10 as
the major respiratory quinine and unlike other bacterium, glycosphingolipids instead of lipopolysaccharide are contained in the cell envelopes (18). In general, most sphingomonads are not clinically important except for Sphingomonas paucimobilis which have been associated with a range of nosocomial infections (19). Methylobacterium is the other bacterium that contributed to the high microbial load of the water samples. Like the sphingomonads, Methylobacterium is also a rod-shaped Gram-negative and strictly aerobic. This bacterium can utilize one-carbon compound and the colonies appeared pinkish as they are pigmented with carotenoids. The presence of Methylobacterium sp. in DUWS has also been reported in other studies (20, 21). Despite the high microbial load of water from the DCUs, pathogenic bacteria including faecal coliforms, E. coli, faecal streptococci and P. aeruginosa were absent. On this account, the possibility of the DCU water to cause infection among patients receiving treatment in the clinic would be very unlikely and thus, can be considered safe for use.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that water delivered via the DUWS in the clinic under study is safe for use in dental treatment procedures. Despite the high record of microbial load that failed to meet recommendation by the ADA, the water received by patients is free of pathogens. Sphingomonas dokdonensis that was found to be the most dominant contaminant is of no clinical importance. Further study is however required to discover new methods and approaches to ensure better quality of water is delivered in DUWS so as to minimise the risk of cross-infection among patients and dental personnel.
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Table 3. Identity of common bacteria isolated from water samples as determined by comparing their 16S rDNA gene sequences with that of GenBank database. The abundance percentages of these bacteria in the water samples are also presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial species (GenBank accession no.)</th>
<th>Abundance percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sphingomonas rhizogenes (AY962684.2)</td>
<td>17.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphingomonas dokdonensis (DQ178975.1)</td>
<td>79.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphingomonas mucosissima (AM229669)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylobacterium radiotolerans (GU294334.1)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.


