Electrical stimulation-evoked contractions blunt orthostatic hypotension in sub-acute spinal cord-injured individuals: two clinical case studies
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Study design: Prospective study of two cases.

Objectives: To describe the effects of electrical stimulation (ES) therapy in the 4-week management of two sub-acute spinal cord-injured (SCI) individuals (C7 American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) B and T9 AIS (B)).

Setting: University Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Methods: A diagnostic tilt-table test was conducted to confirm the presence of orthostatic hypotension (OH) based on the current clinical definitions. Following initial assessment, subjects underwent 4 weeks of ES therapy 4 times weekly for 1 h per day. Post-tests tilt table challenge, both with and without ES on their rectus abdominis, quadriceps, hamstrings and gastrocnemius muscles, was conducted at the end of the study (week 5). Subjects’ blood pressures (BP) and heart rates (HR) were recorded every minute during pre-test and post-tests. Orthostatic symptoms, as well as the maximum tolerance time that the subjects could withstand head up tilt at 60°, were recorded.

Results: Subject A improved his orthostatic symptoms, but did not recover from clinically defined OH based on the 20-min duration requirement. With concurrent ES therapy, 60° head up tilt BP was 89/62 mm Hg compared with baseline BP of 115/71 mm Hg. Subject B fully recovered from OH demonstrated by BP of 105/71 mm Hg during the 60° head up tilt compared with baseline BP of 124/77 mm Hg. Both patients demonstrated longer tolerance time during head up tilt with concomitant ES (subject A: pre-test 4 min, post-test without ES 6 min, post-test with ES 12 min; subject B: pre-test 4 min, post-test without ES 28 min, post-test with ES 60 min).

Conclusions: Weekly ES therapy had positive effect on OH management in sub-acute SCI individuals.

INTRODUCTION

Autonomic control of systemic blood pressure (BP) is multi-factorial, but primarily operates through regulation of cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance. Orthostatic hypotension (OH) is common among spinal cord-injured (SCI) individuals due to loss of function in their autonomic nervous system, affecting reduced regulation of vascular resistance below the spinal lesion and diminished stroke volume. The American Autonomic Society and American Academy of Neurology has defined OH as a decrease in systolic BP (SBP) of at least 20 mm Hg or a decrease in diastolic BP (DBP) of minimum 10 mm Hg during 20 min of head up tilt at 60°. Illman et al. noted, in their study on acute SCI patients, that 74% of the subjects experienced clinical manifestations of OH. Nearly 50% of the subjects discontinued their treatment due to overwhelming OH symptoms. Some authors have also noted that OH may occur with or without any symptoms.

Sub-acute SCI individuals may experience symptoms of OH such as dizziness, affected vision, exhaustion, pain, syncope, pallor and eventually fainting. OH can be provoked by altering body position from supine posture to upright status. OH is diminished when the body position is tilted back to supine position. The magnitude of BP decreases, the rate at which the patient’s BP decline and poor cerebral autoregulation all can elicit symptoms of OH.

Pathophysiological explanations for OH in SCI include loss of skeletal muscle pumping activity and reduced venous return when in transferred to upright postures, leading to a lowered stroke volumes, reduced cardiac outputs and blunted BPs with a net reduction of cerebral blood flow. In the upright position, venous blood accumulates in the lower extremities, such as legs and thoraco-abdominal vascular beds. SCI patients with their reduced skeletal muscle pump due to muscle paralysis/paresis are more predisposed to ‘circulatory hypokinesia’ during upright postures. Raymond et al. demonstrated that peripheral resistance, cardiac output, heart rate (HR) and stroke volume were increased when rhythmic leg muscle contractions were induced by neuromuscular electrical stimulation (ES).

Several studies have deployed ES for management of BPs in SCI patients during a head up orthostatic challenge as well as during stationary and dynamic stance. Although the effect of ES on autonomic control after SCI is unclear, BP is better maintained under head up tilt due to reduced diminution of stroke volume. Previous
investigations have also shown that HR increased when ES was applied to persons with SCI, including during head-up tilt with concomitant ES.

From a survey of the literature, the authors were unable to document a previous study that investigated the outcomes of ES therapy as a weekly training regimen in managing OH in sub-acute SCI patients, especially for maintaining their BP and the HR during orthostatic challenge. This study was a pilot investigation to explore responses to regular ES therapy before undertaking a larger trial. We sought to investigate the potential improvement of OH through a structured weekly ES training regimen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study participants

SCI patients were recruited from the Rehabilitation Ward in UMMC (University Malaya Medical Centre). Subject inclusion criteria were aged between 18 and 65 years, newly diagnosed SCI the subacute phase, had BP changes during a modified orthostatic test by modified tilt table challenge test protocol by Czellar, had OH diagnostic criteria according to the American Autonomic Society and American Association of Neurology, able to understand instructions, respond and provide consent and able to participate in a rehabilitation program. The exclusion criteria were the presence of existing cardiovascular disease, lower limb deep vein thrombosis, hypertension or autonomic dysreflexia, contraindications to ES, serious infection, uncontrolled spasms and unable to understand instructions or provide consent. Written informed consent was obtained as approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of UMMC.

On the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, five spinal-injured patients were initially recruited. Four of them underwent the diagnostic tilt test and three patients were diagnosed with OH. One was diagnosed with very high BPs due to autonomic dysreflexia during the diagnostic tilt test, and was excluded from further study. The autonomic dysreflexia was triggered by the diagnostic tilt test of which no ES was involved.

The ES intervention was deployed to three individuals (subjects A, B and C) who had experienced symptomatic OH. After the first ES intervention, one subject (subject C, T4 American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale (AIS) A) decided to withdraw from the study due to poor tolerance of ES sensations.

The two remaining subjects’ cases are presented herein to ascertain the effects of ES weekly training on each individual’s OH. All assessments and most ES therapy were carried out in Rehabilitation Ward in UMMC, however, some ES training was partially conducted at one subject’s residence after discharge. The subjects’ characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Study procedure

A tilt table challenge test is one of the established methods to diagnose the presence of OH in individuals with SCI. The subject was affixed securely at a head up posture of 60° for 20 min. SBP and DBP and HR were measured using an automatic blood pressure monitor (Phillips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of subjects before and after ES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Time since injury</th>
<th>Cause of injury</th>
<th>Level of injury</th>
<th>AIS grade (before Intervention)</th>
<th>AIS grade (after Intervention)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Metastatic prostate cancer</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>AIS B</td>
<td>AIS C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Traumatic SCI</td>
<td>T9</td>
<td>AIS B</td>
<td>AIS B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AIS, American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale; ES, electrical stimulation; M, male; SCI, spinal cord injury. Grade of Injury (AIS): B, sensory incomplete; C, sensory and motor incomplete.

RESULTS

Changes in tolerance to stimulation current

The current amplitude on all four muscle groups (rectus abdominis, quadriceps, hamstrings and gastrocnemius) was gradually increased throughout the 4 weeks of ES therapy. Starting from the 9th ES session, the current amplitude applied on four muscle groups of subject A had to be significantly decreased (Table 2), as he began to feel the triggering of ES stimulation, and he could not withstand ES-induced sensations at high current amplitude. This increased sensibility was observed in parallel to an improvement in the AIS grade as well as neurological level, that is, from C3 AIS B to T7AIS C.

The current amplitude on all four muscle groups of subject B were gradually decreased from the first ES session until the eighth ES session (Table 3). The current amplitude applied on rectus abdominis did increase gradually throughout all sessions up to 46 mA on the eighth ES session, before being reduced gradually back to 28 mA at the end of week 4 as he could not tolerate the high ES over his abdominal muscles.

Changes in BP, HR and orthostatic symptoms

Changes in BP, HR and time to OH are illustrated in Figure 2. Absolute SBP, DBP and MAP data before ES therapy, after ES therapy without concurrent ES and after ES therapy with concurrent ES during 60° head up tilt are shown in Table 4. On the basis of Symptom Scale Questionnaire for Orthostatic Intolerance, subject A reported fewer symptoms compared to subject B, indicating a potential improvement in OH.
orthostatic symptoms after the intervention, that is, during the post-test with and without ES (pre-test: 6, 5, 7, 3 scores for dizziness, weakness, fainting and pain, respectively, post-test without ES: 5, 4, 5, 0, post-test with ES: 3, 4, 4, 0). In contrast, subject B did not experience any orthostatic symptoms during pre-test, post-test without ES and post-test with ES.

**DISCUSSION**

A common pattern in the current clinical case study was the observation that HR was highest when the SBP and the DBP were lowest. This has been commonly attributed to a reduction in venous return and the stroke volume under orthostatic challenge, with a reflex-driven increase in HR to maintain sufficient cardiac output and BPs during head-up tilt. The pattern observed in our two patients, wherein HR reached its highest value corresponding to the nadir of BP suggests that in these sub-acute SCI patients, an intact carotid sinus baroreflex mediated reduced vagal tone in response to orthostasis.

**Subject improvement**

Following the definition of OH during the tilt table challenge originally proposed by Czell, subject A did not recover from OH since he could not exceed the 20-min cutoff time based on the

---

**Table 2 Current amplitude applied on subject A on each ES session**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectus abdominis</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamstrings</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrocnemius</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ES, electrical stimulation.
—, No ES interventions were conducted.
maintenance of normotension. In contrast, subject B fully recovered from OH after the 4-week ES intervention as demonstrated in the post-training tilt table challenge both with and without concomitant ES-induced muscle contractions.

Two cases—two patterns of improvement
The difference of responses between the two subjects presents a challenge to clinicians for understanding who may or may not benefit from ES therapy during their post-SCI inpatient recovery period. This is because ‘natural recovery’ and ES tolerance may have been co-factors in both these two sub-acute SCI patients that contributed to the results of this study.

For subject A, who started having greater thoraco-lumbar and lower limb sensations during the third week assessment where he had improved to AIS C from AIS B, the therapists had to reduce the current amplitude applied to the muscle groups used to evoke the ES-activated muscle pump. Sub-optimal current amplitude might have been inadequate to create the vigorous lower-limb contractions necessary to counter a fall of blood pressures during orthostatic challenge. Figure 2b clearly illustrated no change in OH pre- versus post-training, and only a modest effect of combined tilt+ES upon MAP after 4 weeks. Indeed, the ES current amplitude that was deployed during the post-training assessment apparently induced a moderate cardio-acceleration of \( \frac{25}{min} \). These factors of orthostatic response against a background of natural post-SCI recovery were possible factors for subject A not recovering from OH, with only a modest duration to clinical manifestation during 60° head-up tilt (Figure 2a).

In contrast, subject B presented with a more ‘classical’ response to head up tilt of 60° after intervention. With the ability to tolerate higher current amplitudes (up to 130 mA across hamstrings and gastrocnemius), thereby evoking more vigorous muscle contractions in his legs over 4 weeks of ES therapy, OH was clinically reduced—decreased MAP was halved from pre- to post-training tilt challenge, and it was further improved during combined tilt+ES (Figure 2b). Consequently, orthostatic-induced cardio-acceleration was also diminished by \( \frac{19}{min} \) with a significant increase in symptom-free heads up tilting beyond 20 min under both post-training conditions.

Clearly, the inability to tolerate sufficiently high current amplitudes for potentially beneficial effects of the ES therapy is one of the limitations of this rehabilitation approach, as evidently demonstrated by subject A whose lower tolerance to ES blunted any anti-hypotensive effects that it might have had over 4 weeks of treatment. This could be addressed by stimulating the common peroneal nerve, which would require lower stimulation current level due to the effective nerve stimulation of smaller muscle groups. Stimulating the common peroneal nerves, thus producing the critical movement of cyclical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle group</th>
<th>Current amplitude (mA) for subject B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectus abdominis</td>
<td>24 24 30 34 40 42 44 46 32 36 36 38 38 24 26 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps</td>
<td>26 26 32 36 62 78 90 100 110 120 130 130 130 130 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamstrings</td>
<td>22 22 32 36 62 78 90 100 110 120 130 130 130 130 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrocnemius</td>
<td>28 28 30 34 58 62 68 72 82 92 102 112 130 130 130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ES, electrical stimulation.
---, No ES interventions were conducted.

Figure 2 (a) Change in BP and time to OH pre- and post-intervention. (b) Change in MAP and HR pre- and post-intervention.
ankle dorsiflexion might also result in greater blood flow effect, which would be an interesting outcome to be determined in future studies.

CONCLUSION
This study highlighted some positive effects of regular ES training in individuals with sub-acute SCI for managing their OH. A limiting factor is likely poor or reduced tolerance to ES stimulation current, which restricts the quality of ES-evoked muscle contractions deployed to reduce venous pooling and hypotension in upright postures. However, for individuals who can tolerate moderate-to-vigorous ES-induced contractions during their inpatient rehabilitation, a longer duration to clinically manifest OH, reduced symptoms and improved blood pressure responses can be observed. A longer intervention duration and follow-up time would enable further investigation about the effectiveness of ES in managing OH in this patient population.
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Table 4 Blood pressure responses during 60° head up tilt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Initial (mm Hg)</th>
<th>60° Head up tilt (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Time to OH onset (min)</th>
<th>After ES therapy (no concurrent ES)</th>
<th>Initial (mm Hg)</th>
<th>60° Head up tilt (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Time to OH onset (min)</th>
<th>After ES therapy (with concurrent ES)</th>
<th>Initial (mm Hg)</th>
<th>60° Head up tilt (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Time to OH onset (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject A</td>
<td>123/95 (104)</td>
<td>102/81 (88)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>147/92 (110)</td>
<td>113/75 (88)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>115/71 (86)</td>
<td>89/62 (71)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject B</td>
<td>127/82 (97)</td>
<td>106/70 (82)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>122/72 (89)</td>
<td>100/71 (81)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>124/77 (93)</td>
<td>105/71 (82)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ES, electrical stimulation; OH, orthostatic hypotension.

Note: ‘Initial’ denotes supine blood pressures before 60° head up tilt. ‘60° head up tilt’ denotes final blood pressures during OH before tilt challenge termination. ‘Time to OH’ denotes time until clinical appearance of OH. Data are systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure (mean blood pressure) and time (minutes).