Influence of birth cohort on age of onset cluster analysis in bipolar I disorder
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Two common approaches to identify subgroups of patients with bipolar disorder are clustering methodology (mixture analysis) based on the age of onset, and a birth cohort analysis. This study investigates if a birth cohort effect will influence the results of clustering on the age of onset, using a large, international database.

Methods: The database includes 4037 patients with a diagnosis of bipolar I disorder, previously collected at 36 collection sites in 23 countries. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to adjust the data for country median age, and in some models, birth cohort. Model-based clustering (mixture analysis) was then performed on the age of onset data using the residuals. Clinical variables in subgroups were compared.

Results: There was a strong birth cohort effect. Without adjusting for the birth cohort, three subgroups were found by clustering. After adjusting for the birth cohort or when considering only those born after 1959, two subgroups were found. With results of either two or three subgroups, the youngest subgroup was more likely to have a family history of mood disorders and a first episode with depressed polarity. However, without adjusting for birth cohort (three subgroups), family history and polarity of the first episode could not be distinguished between the middle and oldest subgroups.

Conclusion: These results using international data confirm prior findings using single country data, that there are subgroups of bipolar I disorder based on the age of onset, and that there is a birth cohort effect. Including the birth cohort adjustment altered the number and characteristics of subgroups detected when clustering by age of onset. Further investigation is needed to determine if combining both approaches will identify subgroups that are more useful for research.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The age of disease onset is often analyzed to identify patient subgroups that differ in clinical course or genetic profile. Two general approaches to grouping data from patients with bipolar disorder have provided important and replicated findings. The first approach uses a clustering methodology (mixture analysis) to determine the optimal number of distinct subgroups in a sample based on the age of onset distribution [8]. Using this clustering methodology, researchers have identified three onset subgroups, with the youngest subgroup having the most severe course of illness and highest likelihood of a family history of mood disorders [2,8,9,22,24,35,37]. The second approach groups the data in a sample by patient year of birth and analyzes for a birth cohort effect [21]. Researchers have detected a strong birth cohort effect in bipolar disorder, with successive generations experiencing an earlier age of onset [12,14,15,21,23,33,34].

The purpose of this analysis is to evaluate whether a birth cohort effect influences the results of clustering based on the age of onset using a large international database of patients with bipolar I disorder [4]. This is important because the birth cohort may modify the number and composition of subgroups, which in turn may affect the subsequent search for distinct and meaningful clinical and genetic profiles.

2. Methods

2.1. Data collection

The data in this analysis were collected for a study of the impact of solar insolation on the age of onset of bipolar disorder, and are described in detail elsewhere [4,5]. The diagnosis of bipolar disorder was made by a psychiatrist according to DSM-IV criteria. The patient data were obtained retrospectively at 36 collection sites in 23 countries. In 20 sites, data were obtained by a combination of direct interviews and record review, in 8 sites primarily by direct interview and in 8 sites by record review. The age of onset was defined as the first occurrence of an episode of depression, mania or hypomania according to DSM-IV criteria. Additional data included a family history of any mood disorder in a first degree relative, and the polarity of the first episode (depressed, manic or hypomanic). Study approval from institutional review boards was obtained according to local requirements.
Data from 5465 patients with bipolar disorder were obtained from 36 collection sites: Aarhus, Denmark (n = 66); Athens, Greece (n = 51); Bangalore, India (n = 99); Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain (n = 200); Beer Sheva, Israel (n = 105); Buenos Aires, Argentina (n = 95); Cagliari, Sardinia, Italy (n = 206); Calgary, Canada (n = 126); Cape Town, South Africa (n = 100); Dresden, Germany (n = 35); Halifax, Canada (n = 102); Helsinki, Finland (n = 191); Hong Kong (n = 50); Kansas City, KS, USA (n = 21); Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (n = 121); Los Angeles, CA, USA (n = 206); Medellin, Colombia (n = 189); Melbourne/Geelong, Australia (n = 161); Oslo, Norway (n = 127); Palo Alto, CA, USA (n = 48); Paris, France (n = 468); Porto Alegre, Brazil (n = 205); Poznan, Poland (n = 102); Rochester, MN, USA (n = 141); San Diego, CA, USA (n = 55); São Paulo, Brazil (n = 248); Salvador, Brazil (n = 121); Santiago, Chile (n = 346); Siena, Italy (n = 60); Thessaloniki, Greece (n = 52); Tokyo, Japan (n = 120); Trondheim, Norway (n = 238); Vitoria-Basque Country, Spain (n = 343); Worcester, MA, USA (n = 58); Wiener Neustadt, Austria (n = 253); and Würzburg, Germany (n = 356).

2.2. Database characteristics

Of the 5465 total patients 4037 were diagnosed with bipolar I disorder, 1236 with bipolar II and 192 with bipolar NOS. Due to a large imbalance in the diagnosis of bipolar I disorder at the collection sites, varying from 23% to 99%, only the 4037 patients with a diagnosis of bipolar I disorder were included in this analysis. Of the 4037 patients, 2374 (58.8%) were female and 1663 (41.2%) were male. Onset occurred in the southern hemisphere for 1043 (25.8%) of the patients.

The mean age of the 4037 patients was 48.1 ± 14.5 years. The unadjusted mean age of onset for the 4037 patients was 25.4 years, similar to 25.7 years (n = 1665) in other research [3]. Family history was available for 3334 (82.6%) of the 4037 patients. Of the 3334 patients, 1848 (55.4%) had a positive family history and 1486 (44.6%) did not. The polarity of the first episode was available for 3601 (89.2%) of the 4037 patients. Of the 3601 patients, the first episode was depressed in 1748 (48.5%) and manic in 1853 (51.5%).

2.3. Onset location and country median age

This international database has several unique features. Although the data were collected in 36 collection sites in 23 countries, there were 318 unique onset locations (city and country) in 43 countries. Each onset location includes all reported locations within a 1 x 1 degree grid of latitude and longitude. The number of onset locations from each collection site reflects differences in country size, culture and migration patterns. The number of patients within each onset location varies, and the data within each onset location are correlated [4,5].

There is a large difference in the median age of the population among the countries, varying over 20 years between the oldest (Japan, 45.8 years) and the youngest (South Africa, 25.5 years) [48]. For a disease with a variable age of onset that spans several decades like bipolar disorder, an older age of onset would be expected in a country with an older population [13,27]. Additionally, the country median age, which summarizes the age structure, provides information about the socioeconomic characteristics of a country [48].

2.4. Clustering approach

The clustering analysis was performed in two steps. First, generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to estimate the effect of the country median age and, in some models the birth cohort, on the age of onset. Second, the residuals from the estimated GEE models, which contain information that was not explained by the GEE model variables, were used for the cluster analysis.

2.5. GEE

All GEE models have the age of onset as the dependent variable. A GEE model was used to accommodate both the correlated data and unbalanced number of patients within the onset locations. All estimates adjust for the correlated onset locations using clusters, and the country median age as an independent variable. A GEE uses a population averaged or marginal approach, estimating the effect across the entire population rather than within the correlated onset locations [49]. A significance level of 0.01 was used to evaluate estimated coefficients. GEE analyses were performed using geepack 1.1-6 for R.

2.6. Mixture analysis

Mixture analysis was performed using model-based clustering with MCLUST 4.2 for R software [19], as in prior research [24]. Model-based clustering assumes the sample is a mixture of one or more normal distributions, uses a statistical probability model to determine both the number and composition of the clusters, and does not specify in advance the number, shape, volume or orientation of the distributions [17–19]. The best fitting model and number of clusters are selected using the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC), with the smallest BIC being optimal.

Since the results of this study are population-based, a comparison cannot be directly made with the results for an individual country. However, to confirm the methodology using residuals, a comparison was made using data from just one country. Cluster analysis of age of onset was performed without any adjustments, as in prior studies in a single country [9].

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of subgroups</th>
<th>Youngest subgroup</th>
<th>Middle subgroup</th>
<th>Oldest subgroup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (SD)</td>
<td>n (SD)</td>
<td>n (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>156 (42.0)</td>
<td>145 (39.1)</td>
<td>70 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>156 (42.0)</td>
<td>145 (39.1)</td>
<td>70 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals mean + overall mean of onsetb</td>
<td>156 (42.0)</td>
<td>145 (39.1)</td>
<td>70 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The entries in bold demonstrate that using the actual data, and the residuals mean + overall mean age of onset, produced the same result.

a Residuals calculated using generalized estimating equation (GEE) estimate of age of onset as a function of a constant with 28 onset locations within France.

b The overall mean of the estimated GEE age of onset in 24.97 years.
results were compared to cluster analysis using the age of onset for the GEE model. After comparing the clustered results for the cohort, the age at which onset was added to the cohort midpoint for comparison. As shown in Table 1, there was no difference in the results. Also, the values were similar to prior findings [2,9].

2.7. Impact of birth cohort

A large percentage of 4037 people in this database were born before 1960 (36.8%). As in prior research [14], three birth cohort groups were created: born before 1940, born between 1940 and 1959, and born after 1959. The impact of the birth cohort effect on the clustering was analyzed in three ways. First, using the entire sample, a GEE model was estimated without considering the birth cohort, and cluster analysis was then performed on the residuals. Second, using the entire sample, a GEE model was estimated that also adjusted for the birth cohort, and cluster analysis was then performed on the residuals. Third, a GEE model without the birth cohort adjustment was estimated for only the youngest cohort born after 1959, and cluster analysis was performed on the residuals.

2.8. Clinical variables

The clinical variables of the patients in the subgroups detected by cluster analysis were compared. Clinical variables in this database were family history, gender, and polarity of the first episode. The hypomanic and manic data were combined for analysis of polarity. Variables in the subgroups were compared using a Chi² test. For variables with a significant difference and more than two subgroups, logistic regression models were used for pairwise comparison.

3. Results

Of the 4037 patients, 220 (5.4%) were born before 1940 and had a mean age of onset of 38.4 years, 1267 (31.4%) were born between 1940 and 1959 and had a mean age of onset of 29.5 years, and 2550 (63.2%) were born after 1959 and had a mean age of onset of 22.2 years. The 16.2 years between the mean age of onset in the oldest and youngest birth cohorts groups influenced the results of the clustering analysis, as shown in Tables 2A-2C. Without considering the birth cohort, the best fitting model for the entire sample (n = 4037) consisted of three normal distributions. The mean age of three subgroups were 17.24 ± 3.20, 23.93 ± 5.12, and 32.20 ± 11.96 years, representing 41.7%, 24.7%, and 33.6% of the sample (Table 2A). With the birth cohort, the best fitting model for the entire sample (n = 4037) consisted of two normal distributions. The mean age of two subgroups were 20.7 ± 5.84 and 30.1 ± 10.40 years, representing 62.1% and 37.9% of the sample (Table 2B). Considering only those born after 1959 (n = 2550), the best fitting model also consisted of two normal distributions. The mean age of two subgroups were 18.11 ± 3.70 and 25.79 ± 8.41 years, representing 56.9% and 43.1% of the sample (Table 2C).

In all cluster results, more patients in the youngest subgroup had a family history of mood disorders, and a first episode with a depressed polarity (Tables 3A-3C). However, pairwise comparisons of the three subgroups detected without considering the birth cohort, could not distinguish between the middle and oldest subgroups for family history or polarity of first episode. A significant difference in family history and polarity of the first episode was only found when comparing the youngest and middle subgroups, and the youngest and oldest subgroups (Table 4).

4. Discussion

Data in this international study were combined from multiple dissimilar countries, and adjusted for large differences in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2A</th>
<th>Results of cluster analysis of age of onset data for all patients without birth cohort (n=4037).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subgroups</td>
<td>Youngest subgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1685 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2B</th>
<th>Results of cluster analysis of age of onset data for patients born after 1959 (n=2550).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subgroups</td>
<td>Youngest subgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1452 (35.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3A</th>
<th>Patient characteristics in subgroups from cluster analysis of age of onset data without birth cohort.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n=4037)</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>989</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family history (n=3334)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>819</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polarity of first episode (n=3601)</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>Manic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Modeled using residuals from generalized estimating equation (GEE) estimate of age of onset as a function of a constant, the country median age and birth cohort group with 318 onset locations. The overall mean of the estimated GEE age of onset is 25.40 years.
country median age. Even with these adjustments, cluster analysis identified three subgroups for the age of onset of bipolar I disorder when the birth cohort is not considered, similar to results from individual countries as summarized by Hamshere et al. [24]. This similarity validates the technique used in this analysis, and, in turn, the cluster analysis on the residuals confirms the presence of subgroups. When adjusting for the birth cohort, or considering only those born after 1959, only two subgroups were found. As in prior studies in which data were unadjusted for the birth cohort, the youngest subgroup was more likely to have a family history of mood disorders [3,22,24], and to have a first episode with a polarity of depression [39,40] when compared to either the middle or older subgroup. However, there was no significant difference between the middle and older subgroups for either family history or polarity of first episode suggesting that the two older subgroups may not be clinically distinct. Since the birth cohort adjustment alters the number of subgroups, the usefulness of this confounder should be investigated in future studies.

The birth cohort effect is a proxy for the cultural environment experienced by different generations of patients and their physicians [43,45,47]. In addition to bipolar disorder, a strong birth cohort effect for age of onset was reported for other psychiatric disorders including depression [12,29,32], schizophrenia [16], substance abuse [28], phobias [36], and symptoms of anxiety [43]. Diverse cultural influences may contribute to the birth cohort effect including the immediate and long-term consequences of World War II [11,31,45,47], stress under totalitarian regimes [6,7], introduction and expansion of psychopharmacology [20,42], evolving diagnostic practices [1,10], changes in societal attitudes to mental illness [21,26,41], changes to family structure and the role of women [32,43], greater exposure to drugs of abuse [12,15,28], and the rise of the information age and social media.

There are several limitations to this study. The data collection process was not standardized across all sites, although diagnosis was based on DSM-IV criteria. Patient reported age of onset is subject to recall or memory bias especially among the elderly [38,46]. The family history data were not validated. Family history data is often inaccurate [25], and may be influenced by cultural attitudes towards mental illness [30]. A genetic anticipation effect may be contributing in part to the birth cohort effect [44]. Ascertainment bias may be present, since patients with bipolar disorder may recognize symptoms in offspring, resulting in earlier diagnosis. There could also be a selection bias in the age of onset for those born before 1959, since a younger age of onset is associated with a more severe disease course including suicide [40]. This analysis cannot address the importance of the birth cohort effect in any one country. Only three variables were available in this database to evaluate the clinical usefulness of the clustering results. This analysis used the MCLUST mixture algorithm, and clusters determined by other clustering techniques, or by cutoffs based on clinical observation, were not evaluated.

Researchers using mixture analysis have previously noted that a birth cohort effect may influence the composition of the subgroups, or the distribution of some clinical variables within

### Table 3B
Patient characteristics in subgroups from cluster analysis of age of onset data with birth cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Youngest subgroup</th>
<th>Oldest subgroup</th>
<th>Chi²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n=4037)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history (n=3334)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarity of first episode (n=3601)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manic</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Modeled using residuals from generalized estimating equation (GEE) estimate of age of onset as a function of a constant, the country median age and birth cohort group with 318 onset locations.

### Table 3C
Patient characteristics in subgroups from cluster analysis of age of onset data for patients born after 1959.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Youngest subgroup</th>
<th>Oldest subgroup</th>
<th>Chi²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (n=2550)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history (n=2091)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarity of first episode (n=2272)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manic</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Modeled using residuals from generalized estimating equation (GEE) estimate of age of onset as a function of a constant and the country median age with 263 onset locations.

### Table 4
Pairwise comparison of patient characteristics within subgroups from cluster analysis without birth cohort.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Youngest vs. middle</th>
<th>Youngest vs. oldest</th>
<th>Middle vs. oldest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarity of first episode (n=3601)</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.344  1.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manic (n=1853)</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.500  1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed (n=1748)</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.571  0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history (n=3334)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes (n=1848)</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.590  0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No (1486)</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.591  1.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Subgroups modeled using residuals from generalized estimating equation (GEE) estimate of age of onset as a function of a constant and the country median age with 318 onset locations. Pairwise comparison using logistic regression.

a: Odds ratio.

b: Reference category.
the subgroups [2,9]. Regardless of the cause of the birth cohort effect, ignoring the cohort effect in a statistical analysis of age of onset may produce misleading results.

5. Conclusion
In conclusion, the results of this international study are consistent with prior findings that there are subgroups in the age of onset of bipolar I disorder [8,9], and that there is a birth cohort effect [14,21]. The birth cohort effect influenced the number and characteristics of the subgroups determined by clustering methodology. Further investigation is needed to determine if including the birth cohort in cluster analysis based on age of onset will identify subgroups that are more useful for clinical research.
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