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Dear Dr. Basir:

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript entitled "Malaysian Islamic Quality Management System MS1900: An Implementation Steps at Malacca Zakat Center" in its current form for publication in Humanomics. The comments of the reviewer(s) who reviewed your manuscript are included at the foot of this letter.

By publishing in this journal, your work will benefit from Emerald EarlyCite. This is a pre-publication service which allows your paper to be published online earlier, and so read by users and, potentially, cited earlier.

Please note, EarlyCite is not a proofing service. Emerald operates a 'right first time' policy, which means that the final version of the article which has been accepted by the Editor will be the published version. We cannot allow further changes to the article once it has been accepted.

Please go to your Author Centre at https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/human (Manuscripts with Decisions for the submitting author or Manuscripts I have co-authored for all listed co-authors) to complete the copyright assignment form. We cannot publish your paper without this. All authors are requested to complete the form and to input their full contact details. If any of the contact information is incorrect you can update it by clicking on your name at the top right of the screen. Please note that this must be done prior to you submitting your copyright form.

If you would like more information about Emerald’s copyright policy please visit the Information & Forms section in the Resources section of your Author Centre.
Thank you for your contribution. On behalf of the Editors of Humanomics, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Yours sincerely,
Dr. Asmak Ab Rahman
Guest Editor, Humanomics
asmak@um.edu.my

Referee(s)' Comments to Author:
Referee: 1

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments:
please edit of the following steps
1. some texts found copied exactly from another paper. Advised to paraphrase these texts
2. Same reference used in many literature and cited one more than ten times, need to use difference reference.
3. please recheck interviewers views with dates and explain their views to develop your ideas.
4. please restructure the model of implementation process of Islamic quality management system MS 1900 (same structure found in another paper)
5. please check those same words used twice in some place.

Additional Questions:
<b>1. Originality: </b> Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes, the paper contain significant information but some texts have been copied exactly from another paper. Advised to paraphrase these texts

<b>2. Relationship to Literature: </b> Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes, this paper imply understanding of the relevant literature but same reference used in many literature and cited one more than ten times, need to use difference reference.

<b>3. Methodology: </b> Is the paper’s argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: Yes this article built a good idea based on theory and concept.

<b>4. Results: </b> Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Based on methodology, it is explained that Semi-structured interview was employed from 17 interviewees but couldn’t demonstrate their views clearly in the findings, please recheck their interview dates and explain their views to develop your ideas.

<b>5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: </b> Does the paper identify
clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: Yes, this paper developed a model of implementation process of Islamic quality management system MS 1900 which might be benefited for society but the structure of this model have found in another article, please restructure this model.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Yes this paper presented quality of communication, only need to avoid using same word or double times in some places.